

Automated High-throughput Phosphopeptide Enrichment Using TiO₂ Dispersive Pipette Extraction

Sunil Hwang¹, Todd Mullis¹, Jingyun Lee², Cristina Furdui², Andrew Lee^{1*}

¹IMCS, LLC - Irmo, SC; ²Proteomics and Metabolomics Shared Resource, Wake Forest School of Medicine- Winston-Salem, NC




INTRODUCTION

Phosphorylation events are key signal transduction mechanisms within the eukaryotic cells and further understanding such signaling pathways can elucidate crucial molecular signatures of various human diseases (1-3). The global deep profiling of phosphopeptide has been successfully optimized in the procedures of enrichment, mass spectrometry, and data analysis (4,5). However, phosphopeptide enrichment is highly labor-intensive and a low-throughput process that leads to poor reproducibility (6-8). Here, we introduce a phosphopeptide enrichment leveraging dispersive pipette extraction technology on IMCStips. In comparison to conventional solid phase extraction technologies, the patented dispersive pipette extraction process uses loose resin that mixes during aspiration and dispense steps. This increases the interaction between the resin and sample via turbulent mixing within the pipette tips. The tips containing titanium oxide (TiO₂) resin were used to explore the initial feasibility of DPX technology for phosphopeptide enrichment. The reported method uses automated liquid handling systems, such as Integra ViaFlo96, to enrich phosphopeptides from cell lysates for high-throughput and reproducible sample preparation to study phosphorylation events.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

HEK293T cells were treated with 5 mM H₂O₂ for 15 minutes and washed three times with cold PBS. The cells were lysed with 8 M Urea buffer containing a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. 10 mM dithiothreitol was added to reduce at 56 °C for 30 minutes, then 25 mM iodoacetamide was added for alkylation for 30 minutes in the dark followed by overnight tryptic digestion at 37 °C. For automatic sample processing, we developed a method for the VIAFlo96 from Integra and other high-throughput robotic system (Figure 1, 2).



Automatic sample preparation using a VIAFlo96 and a high-throughput automatic liquid handling system was optimized for 1 mL tips packed with 5 or 10 mg TiO₂ resin. The whole enrichment process took less than 30 minutes with minimal hands-on time (Table 2).

Table 2. TiO₂ Phosphopeptide Enrichment Protocol using 1 mL IMCStips.

Steps	Process	Solvent	Aspiration μ L	Volume μ L	Repeat #	Duration minutes
1	Activation	100 % ACN	600	800	2	0.6
2	Condition	TiO ₂ Buffer A	400	800	3	1.0
3	Equilibrate	TiO ₂ Buffer B	400	800	3	1.0
4	Bind	TiO ₂ Buffer B	400	500	20	6.8
5	Wash 1	TiO ₂ Buffer B	400	800	3	1.0
6	Wash 2	TiO ₂ Buffer A	400	800	3	1.0
7	Elution 1	1.5% NH ₄ OH	400	400	5	1.6
8	Elution 2	1.5% NH ₄ OH, 10% ACN	400	400	5	1.6
Total						14.6

- TiO₂ Buffer A: 80% ACN, 0.4% TFA
- TiO₂ Buffer B: 25% Lactic acid, 60% ACN, 0.3% TFA

We optimized pre- and post-enrichment desalting with IMCStips to increase enrichment efficiency. We compared five types of reverse phase resins in the spin column format to five reverse phase resins in IMCStips for the pre-enrichment desalting. Spin-C₁₈T and Spin-C₁₈N are competitor resins to IMCStips resins (Table 3).

Table 3. Comparison of the desalting methods and materials using six standard peptides.

Resin Type	Bradykinin	Angiotensin I	Angiotensin II	Leptin	ACTH18-39	Neurotensin
Spin-C ₁₈ T	80 \pm 12.6%*	79 \pm 4.3%	74 \pm 0.4%	79 \pm 9.1%	93 \pm 5.4%	73 \pm 0.6%
Spin-C ₁₈ N	107 \pm 3.1%	106 \pm 0.6%	94 \pm 0.2%	110 \pm 3.6%	122 \pm 2.0%	94 \pm 0.9%
Spin-C ₁₈ A1	104 \pm 1.3%	107 \pm 2.1%	95 \pm 2.3%	95 \pm 7.9%	119 \pm 2.7%	93 \pm 4.0%
Spin-C ₁₈ A3	102 \pm 2.0%	102 \pm 2.3%	91 \pm 2.0%	90 \pm 3.5%	114 \pm 3.9%	93 \pm 5.5%
Spin-RP	85 \pm 1.1%	77 \pm 0.7%	75 \pm 2.3%	65 \pm 9.2%	88 \pm 1.4%	73 \pm 0.7%
Tip-C ₁₈ A1	63 \pm 9.3%	64 \pm 10.8%	62 \pm 5.9%	56 \pm 4.8%	70 \pm 8.8%	58 \pm 7.7%
Tip-C ₁₈ A1/RP	72 \pm 4.8%	69 \pm 6.6%	68 \pm 4.0%	62 \pm 4.5%	84 \pm 5.5%	67 \pm 2.5%
Tip-C ₁₈ A3	96 \pm 9.7%	98 \pm 7.4%	88 \pm 6.8%	93 \pm 7.3%	117 \pm 6.9%	89 \pm 8.1%
Tip-C ₁₈ A3/RP	95 \pm 1.6%	94 \pm 4.7%	85 \pm 0.5%	85 \pm 3.6%	115 \pm 3.1%	88 \pm 3.4%
Tip-RP	85 \pm 0.4%	86 \pm 0.2%	78 \pm 1.2%	82 \pm 8.8%	105 \pm 4.7%	79 \pm 2.0%

*Average recovery (%) \pm Relative standard deviation (RSD), 8mg of resin was used for spin columns and IMCStips.

With the optimized automatic liquid handling protocol, the average recovery of the three exogenous phosphopeptide standards was greater than 81% with minimal non-phosphopeptide binding to the TiO₂ IMCStips (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Average phosphopeptide recovery from 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 μ g α - β -casein tryptic digests using 2 mg TiO₂ 300 μ L IMCStips on a high-throughput automatic liquid handling system.

To test global phosphoproteomics application, we used 500 μ g HEK293T cell digest and enriched phosphopeptides using automatic TiO₂ dispersive pipette extraction technology in IMCStips. With the optimized pre- and post-enrichment desalting procedures, we identified over 1300 phosphopeptides with 88.6% specificity (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Phosphopeptide identification using TiO₂ IMCStips enrichment and Q-Exactive LC-MS/MS. Pre-enrichment desalting: 50 mg C₁₈A1 and RP spin columns. Phosphopeptide enrichment: 10mg TiO₂ IMCS 1 mL IMCS tips, post-enrichment clean-up: Thermo graphite spin column, 50 mg C₁₈A1 and RP IMCS tips.

We further tested volatile base elution buffers to increase the efficiency and eliminate the desalting step followed by TiO₂ phosphopeptide enrichment (Figure 5).




Figure 5. Optimization of the elution buffers. A) Extracted ion chromatogram and automatic integration of the area under the curve for the phosphopeptides using Skyline software. B) 10 pmols of three phosphopeptides were spiked into the sample prior to the TiO₂ enrichment. The clutes were dried completely and resuspended with 2% ACN, 5% Formic acid for TSQ analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

Dispersive pipette extraction for phosphopeptide enrichment using an automatic liquid handling system increases reproducibility and specificity for biopharmaceutical research. IMCStips containing TiO₂ showed nearly 90% recovery of the phosphopeptide standards even in the presence of alpha and beta casein peptides. For the global proteomics, over 1,300 phosphopeptides with 88.6% specificity were enriched from HEK293T cell lysates. The enrichment process involved minimal hands-on time and the entire process was executed on the robotic system without additional fractionations. The ability to automate such complex sample preparations would lead to reduced error and noise on the sample, and the key signal of the cellular phosphorylation event in a limited number of biological replicate will be elucidated with less time and effort.

REFERENCES

1. Phosphotyrosine-dependent activation of Rac-1 GDP/GTP exchange by the *ras* proto-oncogene product. Crespo P, Schuebel KE, Ostrom AA, Gutkind JS, Bustelo XR. *Nature*. 1997 Jan 9;385(6612):169-72.
2. Tyrosine phosphorylation of the EGF receptor by the kinase Jak2 is induced by growth hormone. Yamauchi T, Ueki K, Tobe K, Tamemoto H, Sekine N, Wada M, Honjo M, Takahashi M, Takahashi T, Hirai H, Tsubima T, Akanuma Y, Fujita T, Komuro I, Yazaki Y, Kadowaki T. *Nature*. 1997 Nov 6;390(6655):91-6.
3. NF- κ B activation by tumour necrosis factor requires the Akt serine-threonine kinase. Ozes ON, Mayo LD, Gustin JA, Pfeffer SR, Pfeffer LM, Donner DB. *Nature*. 1999 Sep 2;401(6748):82-5.
4. Phosphoproteomic analysis of the developing mouse brain. Ballif BA, Villén J, Beausoleil SA, Schwartz D, Cygi SP. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2004 Nov;3(11):1093-101. *Epub* 2004 Sep 2.
5. Temporal analysis of phosphotyrosine-dependent signaling networks by quantitative proteomics. Blagoev B, Ong SE, Kratchmarova I, Mann M. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2004 Sep;22(9):1139-45. *Epub* 2004 Aug 15.
6. The influence of sample preparation and replicate analyses on HeLa Cell phosphoproteome coverage. Ham BM, Yang F, Jayachandran H, Jaitly N, Monroe ME, Grisenko MA, Livesay EA, Zhao R, Purvine SO, Orton D, Adkins JN, Camp DG 2nd, Rossie S, Smith RD. *J Proteome Res*. 2008 Jun;7(6):2215-21. doi: 10.1021/pr700575m. *Epub* 2008 Apr 16.
7. Rapid and reproducible single-stage phosphopeptide enrichment of complex peptide mixtures: application to general and phosphotyrosine-specific phosphoproteomics experiments. Kettenbach AN, Gerber SA. *Anal Chem*. 2011 Oct 15;83(20):7635-44. doi: 10.1021/ac201894j. *Epub* 2011 Sep 20.
8. Robust phosphoproteome enrichment using monodisperse microsphere-based immobilized titanium (IV) ion affinity chromatography. Zhou H, Ye M, Deng J, Corradini E, Cristobal A, Heck AJ, Zou H, Mohammed S. *Nat Protoc*. 2013 Mar;8(3):461-80. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2013.010. *Epub* 2013 Feb 7.

Abbreviations:

ACN: Acetonitrile; AUC: Area under the curve; C₁₈A1: C₁₈ 100 Å resin; C₁₈A3: C₁₈ 300 Å resin; C₁₈N: C₁₈ spin column from vendor N; C₁₈T: C₁₈ spin column from vendor T; RP: wettable polystyrene cross linked with divinylbenzene; F.A.: Formic acid; GCB: Graphitized carbon black; TFA: Trifluoroacetic acid.

*Contact: Sunil Hwang - sunil.hwang@imcstips.com

Artwork and layout created by Carmen Adamson.

© 2017 IMCS, LLC. All rights reserved. IMCStips™ is a trademark of Integrated Micro-Chromatography Systems, LLC.

Quantification		Identification
Mass spectrometer	TSQ Endura, Thermo Fisher	Q-Exactive Thermo Fisher
Liquid chromatography	Vanquish UPLC	Ultimate 3000 nano-UHPLC
Mobile phase A	0.1% formic acid (F.A.) in water	0.1% F.A. in water
Mobile phase B	0.1% F.A. in acetonitrile	0.1% F.A. in acetonitrile
LC gradient	5% - 35% B for 15 minutes	2% - 30% B for 170 minutes
Trap column	N/A	Acclaim PepMap 100 (C ₁₈ , 5 μ m, 100 Å, 300 μ m X 5mm)
Analytical column	Synchronis C ₁₈ , 100 X 2.1 mm, 1.7 μ m	Acclaim PepMap RSLC (C ₁₈ , 2 μ m, 100 Å, 75 μ m X 15 cm)
Column oven temperature	40 °C	Room temperature

RESULTS

We established a selected reaction monitoring (SRM) method for TSQ triple quadrupole mass spectrometer to test recovery and non-specific binding of TiO₂ IMCStips enrichment using phosphopeptide and non-phosphopeptide standards (Table 1).

Table 1. Selected Reaction Monitoring Transitions for the Standard Peptides

Peptide Name	Sequence	Parent Mass m/z	Product		Collision Energy, V
			Ion 1, m/z	Ion 2, m/z	
Bradykinin	RPPGFSPFR	354.2	506.3	419.2	15.7
Angiotensin 1	DRVYIHPFHL	432.9	647.4	619.4	18.7
Angiotensin 2	DRVYIHPF	349.5	513.3	371.2	15.6
Leptin	NVQISNDLENLR	509.9	644.4	531.3	21.7
ACTH18-39	RPVKVYPNGAEDESAFAFPLEF	822.4	505.3	981.0	33.5
Neurotensin	ELYENKPRRRYIL	558.3	483.6	197.1	23.5
b-Casein	FQpSEEQQTDELDQK	687.9	977.4	747.4	28.4
PKA Regulatory Subunit 2	DLDPVPIGRFDRRVpSVAEE	731.4	875.4	826.9	30.1
UOM9_pPKC Substrate - 3	KRPpSQRHGSKY	475.2	436.8	572.8	20.3
Insulin Receptor kinase domain	TRDIYETDpYYRK	568.3	925.4	824.3	23.9
pAngiotensin 2	DRVYIHPF	376.2	371.2	756.3	15.0
pCholecystokinin	IKNLQpSLDPSH	444.6	340.2	455.2	25.0
pCalcitonin	DFNKFHpTFPQTAIGV	601.3	757.8	814.4	15.0

*Phosphorylated amino acid.