

# Urine Variability Compromises β-Glucuronidase Performance Causing Inaccurate Drug Quantitation

<u>Amanda C. McGee<sup>1\*</sup></u> P. Nikki Sitasuwan<sup>1</sup> • John J. Tomashek<sup>1</sup> • Caleb R. Schlachter<sup>1</sup> Ana C. Grenier<sup>2</sup> • Lawrence J. Andrade<sup>2</sup> • L. Andrew Lee<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Integrated Micro-Chromatography Systems, Inc., Irmo, South Carolina <sup>2</sup>Dominion Diagnostics, LLC., North Kingstown, Rhode Island

# Introduction and Background

- β-glucuronidase is used to remove glucuronic acid from phase II metabolites present in biological fluids to improve detection sensitivity.
- Result accuracy depends on the enzyme hydrolysis efficiency, which varies among different enzyme sources.
- Enzyme hydrolysis efficiency varies in different patient urine samples.
- We present data to show human urine samples impact enzyme hydrolysis efficiency of different β-glucuronidases.



## Materials & Methods

- Negative Urine Controls:
  - Surine<sup>™</sup> (DTI)
  - Synthetic Urine Solution (RICCA)
  - Certified Drug-Free Human Urine (UTAK)
- Patient Samples:
  - Analyzed samples were a subset of those submitted for drug screening at Dominion Diagnostics
    - pH ranged from 4.6 to 9.8
    - Specific gravity ranged from 1.006 to 1.0029
    - Creatinine ranged from 3.5 to 400
    - Patient samples were assigned randomly generated ID numbers
  - Patient samples were aliquoted and fortified with a known concentration (500 ng/mL) of oxymorphone, hydromorphone and codeine glucuronides.

- Purified Enzymes
  - IMCSzyme<sup>®</sup> RT
  - Brachyspira pilosicoli (Enzyme B)
  - Patella vulgata (Enzyme C; limpets)
- Standards (Cerilliant)
  - oxymorphone, oxymorphone-D3, oxymorphone glucuronide
  - hydromorphone, hydromorphone-D3, hydromorphone glucuronide
  - codeine, codeine-D6, codeine glucuronide
  - Five-point calibration curves were prepared (in Surine) using a linear fit for each analyte. Correlation coefficients (R<sup>2</sup>) were ≥ 0.99.
  - Calibration controls were within ± 20% deviation of the target values.



# **Analyte Fortification Confirmation**

- Wanted to confirm the amount of analyte that was fortified into urine samples because some samples are positive for endogenous drug.
- Unfortified and fortified urine samples were hydrolyzed using <u>excess</u> enzyme and <u>prolonged</u> incubation time.
- 20 μL of IMCSzyme RT (<u>4x recommended volume</u>) for 2 hours at room temperature (<u>8x recommended incubation time</u>).
- After hydrolysis, glucuronide peaks were not distinguishable from baseline level, compared to unhydrolyzed samples.



# **Analyte Fortification Confirmation**



**Figure 1.** Analyte fortification check recovery of 19 patient samples which were hydrolyzed with 20 μL of IMCSzyme RT and incubated for 2 hours (a). Analyte recovery was averaged between the 19 patient samples and were within ± 20% of nominal value (b).



# Sample Hydrolysis, Clean-up and Analysis

Table 1. Recommended hydrolysis conditions.

				Master Mix			
	Urine sample (50 μL)	5 μL of Purified Enzyme (protein amount)		Optimal Hydrolysis Buffer (150 μL)		Internal Standard in Methanol	Reaction Volume
	Unfortified samples	IMCSzyme <sup>®</sup> RT	(11 µg)	pH 5.5			215 μL
	or	or Enzyme B	(11 µg)	pH 6.5		10 µL	
	Fortified samples	or Enzyme C	(1.8 µg)	pH 4.5			
Jrine (50 μL) • Master Mix (165μL)	ncubate for 15 minutes at room temperature (20.5 ± 1°C)	WAX/RP dispersive p extraction and elu (400 μL of 1% formi in acetonitrile	pipette tion c acid )	Solvent evaporation	(50 40	Reconstitute μL of methanol and 0 μL of 0.1% formic acid in water)	Inject samples LC-MS/MS (10 of diluted sam

integrated micro-chromatography systems

Figure 2. Schematic representation of sample hydrolysis, clean-up and analysis.

#### **Recommended Hydrolysis Condition Comparison**

- 19 patient samples that contained < 1000 ng/mL of oxymorphone, hydromorphone, and codeine were selected.
- Aliquots of each sample were fortified with 500 ng/mL of each glucuronidated analyte.
- Fortified and unfortified samples were hydrolyzed under recommended hydrolysis conditions using IMCSzyme<sup>®</sup> RT, Enzyme B or Enzyme C.

Fortified Analyte Recovery = Fortified Samples – Unfortified Sample(ng/mL)(ng/mL)



# IMCSzyme<sup>®</sup> RT Results



**Figure 3.** Fortified analyte recovery in 19 patient samples using IMCSzyme RT under recommended hydrolysis conditions (**a**). Average of fortified analyte recoveries of 19 patient samples using IMCSzyme RT (**b**).



#### **Enzyme B Results**



**Figure 4.** Fortified analyte recovery in 19 patient samples using Enzyme B under recommended hydrolysis conditions (**a**). Average of fortified analyte recoveries of 19 patient samples using Enzyme B (**b**).



#### **Enzyme C Results**



**Figure 5.** Fortified analyte recovery in 17 patient samples using Enzyme C under recommended hydrolysis conditions (**a**). Average of fortified analyte recoveries of 17 patient samples using Enzyme C (**b**). \*Enzyme C is missing data from two samples due to limited sample volume.



# **Fortified Sample Comparison**



Low % RSD indicates higher hydrolysis consistency across different samples.

Comparing enzyme performance in three urine controls, IMCSzyme RT showed the lowest % RSD, followed by Enzyme B and Enzyme C. IMCSzyme RT was the only enzyme to achieve > 90% hydrolysis of 5,000 ng/mL fortified glucuronides.

% RSD of hydrolysis efficiency in patient samples increased for all enzymes, however **IMCSzyme RT** was the only one with < 20 % RSD.

**Figure 6.** Average hydrolysis recovery of analytes fortified in two synthetic urines and one certified human drug-free urine (**a**, **c**, **e**) or in patient samples (**b**, **d**, **f**).



### **Enzyme B Compared to IMCSzyme RT**



While 1 sample was within ± 20% deviation (no significant difference), 58 patients reported significantly lower oxymorphone using Enzyme B than IMCSzyme RT.

**Figure 7:** Enzyme B oxymorphone recovery compared to IMCSzyme RT from 59 patient samples. Red dotted line (---) indicates ± 20% deviation.



#### **Oxymorphone Quantitation Comparing Two Enzymes**

#### 90 patient sample results:

#### In Agreement

- 26 samples were found to be positive by both enzymes
- 31 samples were found to be negative by both enzymes

#### In Disagreement -

- None of the samples that were positive by Enzyme B were negative by IMCSzyme RT
- 33 samples disagreed where they were positive by IMCSzyme RT but negative by Enzyme B

Table 2. IMCSzymEnzyme B positiveor negative (< 100results from hydro	e RT and (> 100 ng/mL) ng/mL) olyzing 90	Enzyme B Hydrolysis			
patient samples. S were not fortified glucuronidated dr	Samples with ug.	> 100 ng/mL	< 100 ng/mL		
rme RT olysis	> 100 ng/mL	26	33		
IMCSzy Hydro	< 100 ng/mL	0	31		



### Conclusions

- Different chemicals in heterogenous urine samples can negatively impact β-glucuronidase hydrolysis efficiency
- β-Glucuronidase performance in synthetic urine does not reflect performance in clinical samples
- Enzyme B and Enzyme C do NOT hydrolyze consistently in every sample and could produce false negative results
- IMCSzyme<sup>®</sup> RT offers more consistent performance in clinical samples
- Future work is to identify and characterize enzyme inhibitors in urine





#### Disclosure

Amanda McGee, Nikki Sitasuwan, John Tomashek, Caleb Schlachter and Andrew Lee are employees of Integrated Micro-Chromatography Systems, Inc.

#### References

McGee AC, Sitasuwan PN, Tomashek JJ, Schlachter CR, Lee LA. (2019). Rapid room temperature hydrolysis of glucuronidated drugs of abuse using IMCSzyme<sup>®</sup> RT. Annual Meeting, Society of Forensic Toxicologists, San Antonio, TX. October 13-18 2019.





# **Contact Information**

For more information on IMCSzyme® RT contact: inquiries@imcstips.com





Follow us on LinkedIn:

https://www.linkedin.com/company/integrated-micro-chromatography-systems-imcs/

